

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

m p

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/592,773	06/13/00	CRUPI		F ·	50063.0000-3
<u> </u>			\neg		EXAMINER
		PM82/0	809		
BRADLEY D BECK			•	PECHHO	OLD. A
GARRISON MORRIS & HAIGHT PLLC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5100 POPLAR	AVENUE SUI	TE 2100			3
MEMPHIS TN 3				3673	9
				DATE MAILE	D:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/09/01

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/592,773		CRUPI, FRANCESCO A.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alexandra K Pec		3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)									
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 J</u>	lune 2000							
· -		is action is non-fi	nal	,					
· -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			osecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositio	n of Claims								
<u>4)</u> □ (Claim(s) is/are pending in the application			,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. [5] Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
' <u> </u>									
尚 又 Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.									
1	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicatio	• •								
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 14) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J S Patent and Trac	demark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/592,773

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said rake" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears applicant is referencing "a plurality of rakes" as set forth in line 3, and should therefore refer to "said plurality of rakes" in line 10.

Claim 1, line 10 refers to "carrier", which is confusing, since "a rake frame carrier structure" is set forth previously in line 7. Is the same structure being recited? If so, "carrier" should be replaced with said rake frame carrier structure".

and the graph of the state of t

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott (US 5,265,975). Scott discloses a scarifier comprising a plurality of rakes, seen as teeth (2) in Figs. 1 and 2, mounted on a rake frame, seen as bracket means (5). A rake frame support is disclosed as supporting frame (4), mounting the bracket means (5) to a rake frame carrier structure, seen as cylinders (19a, 20a) and links (23). Controlled movement of the rakes in the vertical direction results from retraction and extension of cylinders (12a, 12b) (Col 9, lines 1-6). A force applicator is disclosed as hydraulic cylinders (12a, 12b). With the respect to the intended use recitations in claim 1, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The first of the contraction of

09/592,773 Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chiba et al (US 4,793,733), Cutler (US 3,907,450), Scott (US 5,407,013), Conrad (US 2,838,858), and Trammer (US 5,407,014) disclose scarifiers. Bargfrede et al (US 4,967,850) discloses a tooth retractor and blade latching mechanism. Gurries et al (US 3,880,243) and Leliter (US 2,686,932) disclose road working machines. Benoit (US 5,535,832) discloses a land leveler and cultivator. Damp et al (US 4,601,605) disclose a roadway surface reconditioning apparatus. Boertlein (US 5,833,011) discloses a landscaping rake-leveling device. West et al (US 3,470,964) discloses an earth working implement. Kelley (US 2,783,699) discloses ripper plows. Sembler et al (US 2,371,549) discloses a rake attachment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (703) 305-0870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703)308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

AKP 8/6/01

the state of the s